G R S. 59]

GENERAL AND [illegible] SECRETARIA

19[illegible]

([illegible])

File No. [illegible]

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month

SUBJECT.

Remit petition from Nagappa of Devarayasamudra praying for a re-consideration of Govt order relating to the lease of Majjigehalli matt villages in Kolar & Mulbagal taluks.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

Mis F 137-04
" 79-04
Misc 300-07

LATER REFERENCES.

Misc 300-07
257-08
5-8 09
335-10
440-11-1-4
197-14-1-4
514-12-1-4

G.R.S. 11] 1907-8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 288
07

FILE HEADING.

Renewal-petition from Nagappa of Devarayasamudra praying for a re-consideration of Govt order relating to the lease of Majjegehalli Math villages in Kolar and Mulbagal Taluks.

From

Nagappa of Devarayasamudra, Mulbagal Taluk.

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 18-1-1908 Received

Diary No. 2902 | Enclos. - | Spare Copies - | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

GPB—15,000—21-1-09

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. 288 of 1907

Note continues.

Orders were accordingly issued in Govt. Pro. no. 1211-2 dated 29th August 1907.

Nagappa of Devanayasamudra in the Mulbagal Taluk has presented a review-application praying for a re-consideration of the foregoing orders of Govt. He states that he is the lessee of the villages of Yambehalli and Madtambehalli in the Kolar Taluk, and Kammir and Kamadatti in the Mulbagal Taluk; that the said villages were granted as jodi to his ancestors and relatives and of Yagnnarayndeekshitar, who conceded a portion of their rights in them to the Majjigehalli matt from motives of charity; and that the matt was in enjoyment of them till 1905 when he, the said Narayanadeekshitar and Venkatsubbiah obtained [illegible]

no. 2902 Dy. 18-1-1908.

File No. 288 of 1907 | Muzrai Secretariat.

Villages from the Swamy of the matt under a registered lease deed for a period of 10 years. He further represents that this lease was effected on the 10th February 1905 1905
on which date the lessees paid the Swamy Rs 6000 being the lease amount in premium, that the improvements have been effected with a hope of being compensated by the income during the rest of the period of the lease; and that the order of Govt is opposed to the spirit of the Notification of 1877 which first requires the alienor to answer before interfering with the alienation itself. He urges that the grievance if any of the matt will have to be adjudicated upon in the Civil Courts as the Govt have no jurisdiction by reason of these Villages not being Inam Villages

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. 9-88 of 1907

endowed to the matt as Devadaya and perhaps that Govt may be pleased to confirm the lease for the period covered in the lease-deed from the Swamy.

Submitted with a draft D.O. requesting detailed report on all the allegations of the Petr.

Also with a draft to the Inam Superintendent requesting copy of the detailed Inam Regt. relating to the grant of the lands to the matt.

Submitted
4/2/1908

Issue the drafts
31/1/08

To, The Inam Supdt

Docket No 0542

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.



G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 288 of 1907

Serial No.

Calls for extracts of the Inam registers pertaining to the grant of villages in Kolar and Mulbagal Taluks for the up-keep of Majjigehalli Math at Mulbagal.

To, The Dy Commr, Kolar Distt

Docket no. 3543 Dy 3-2-08

Forwards Sl for enquiry and detailed report.

To, Nagappa

Encl no 3544 Dy 3-2-08.

Intimates the above.

From, the Inam Supdt

Letter no. 88/2 Dy 21-2-1908

Forwards copies of Inam register of the villages of Kandatti Kamanur and Thambehalli, and adds that there is no village as Medi Thambehalli in the Inam office registers.

From, D Rama Iyer, Pleader, for Nagappa and Venkatasubbiah.

Petition Dy 2-2-1911

States that the order of Govt sought to be reviewed is based upon the false reports of Amildar viz C. V. Narayana Rau and the Dy Commr, that the petitions of the claimants [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

from time to time since 3 years have not been disposed of and that if redress is not granted within 7 days, a suit will be filed.

[illegible] circumstances [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] went was assumed [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] No. 105 of [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 335 of 1910

In this [illegible] it is [illegible] that [illegible] portion of containing this lease to [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] or Mahanadi will be considered appurtenant [illegible] [illegible] Case under the [illegible] agreement of [illegible]

[illegible] has not been [illegible] as called

The D.S. [illegible] has failed to submit a report in spite of reminders; and this office has sent a [illegible] reminder yesterday as directed by me on [illegible] this reminder petition of 27.1.1911.

Submitted [illegible]

Attention [illegible]

9/2/1911

Send a telegram or other urgent requisition to the [illegible] to obtain a ~~[illegible]~~ report [illegible] once. I wish [illegible] especially to know whether this man is [illegible] passed

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 00 1—12 8 10

Serial No.

by force or whether his allegation that he had obtained possession from the Swami is false.

It is quite scandalous that in matters like this where the legality of forcible action is questioned, reports on essential matters should be dragged in this fashion. Please let me have the D.C.'s report in a week or let me see the file upon

13/2/11

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 288 of 1907

Serial No.

Submitted to the Rec^r Jaffna.

The D C has been pleased to order the issue of a telegram or other urgent req^n for the report due from the Dy Com^r of Kolach in this case.

Shall a telegram (urgent) be issued as follows? —

Ordinary

To the Dy Com^r — Kolach

Case send in five days report in Devanayásamudra Nagáppá's review petition regarding restoration majji ísekally matt village vide number 35 dated third February 1908

Please report specially if lessee was ousted by force or if his allegation that he obtained possession from Swami is false."

As the undertaker (VII) is not expected to be in by 17th current, orders of the Rec^r are solicited in the matter as it is urgent.

B.M. 2(26) 15/2/11

Yes CMG — 15/2/11

Issue telegram

BM 15-2-11

Sent 17/2/1911

Sent 15/2/11

NOTE — Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000— 12 8 10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 288 of 1907

Serial No.

From, The Dy Commr, Kolar Distt

Letter no 6899 Dy 18.2.1911
2286

Submits report on the revised petn of Nagappa of Devanayasamudra.

In submitting the Assistant Commr's English Report no. 238 dated 1st October 1909, the Dy Commr states that as the Swamigalu has been touring in Northern India and has been writing to his office requesting that the Swamy should be given an opportunity of explaining the circumstances, the enquiry could not be completed. In compliance with the Telegram, he has submitted an ad-interim report pending the subn of a detailed report after full enquiry as soon as the Swamy returns which, it is said, is expected shortly. The lands are said to have come under Govt management in Septr 1904 and the main villages are reported to have been yielding fairly good income since then.

As regards the last enquiry in the Telegram, the Dy Commr, reports that the lessees had obtained possession of the villages from the Swamigalu

7(09 Xf)

(Paper under disposal)

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 288 of 1907

Serial No.

but nevertheless, they never raised any the least objection nor caused any obstruction whatever, when, on the receipt of the Govt orders for taking the villages under Govt management, the Amildar of Kolaba and Murbagal Talukas assumed charge of the same and adds that the lessees were not in any way forcibly ousted.

Submitted for orders. The Dy C. has called for this file with or without the Dy Com's Report in a week.

21.2.1911

I am not quite sure ... is necessary ...

... documents ... appear ... Lessee's ... dated 13-2-1907 ... 5 ...

... Notice ... appear ... Lessee's reply ... Nagappa's Statement ... Dy 12-3-1907 ... Amildar ... appear Dy 12-10-07

Dy 19-3-07

Dy 4-4-08, 21-10-07

Nothing ... 18-9-1911

GPB—50,000—12-8 10

G. R. & 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 288 of 1907

Serial No.

of their a perusal of Z, X, U & R will give an idea of the case.

If when U and R are read [illegible]

be said that it is [illegible]

urgent

In reference to [illegible]

of the [illegible] - it [illegible]

unopened in [illegible]

known [illegible]

[illegible] really Persons and [illegible]

not in 1874 settled a [illegible]

From [illegible]

[illegible] Chetwami with the object of discovering

his relatives entered into [illegible]

with him [illegible]

happens in such case. Nagapatam [illegible]

has by obtaining this [illegible]

this document [illegible]

A perusal of [illegible]

that Govt has been [illegible]

his early [illegible]

position [illegible]

Perhaps this [illegible]

[illegible]

Can [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—12 8 10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 288 of 19 07

Serial No.

But the present Executive Council who has also a local officer has not recommended, as favourable of when the file came before him as Executive Council, an opinion favourable to the Swami.

We hope the Govt will be pleased to grant the land at once and advance the money to him upto the Municipality's contribution to the Scheme.

It is also urged that the land-amount is what was being realised when the Villages were once before under the management; but such management abolished some 20 years ago.

After all the Swami is now willing to pay what the Govt have agreed to pay him.

Chairman

21/2/1914

P.S. It would perhaps be well to have this before recording any opinion. The Govt perhaps the Executive Council may be pleased to recommend to Govt as above by the Municipal Council

[illegible]

21/2/1914

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 288 of 1907

Serial No.

Ask the Petr or his lawyer to appear before me in Bangalore to explain matters - Send the file again for perusal after ~~[illegible]~~ Counter

~~25~~ 24/2/11 Mother

P. 7 3 11. Dewan [illegible]

8/3/1911

573

File vembmitted. Notice has been issued; but no date has been fixed

Advocate-Gen.

16/3/11

(and replies - Counter filed has denied the date of the alleged 1879 fixed.

Cgn 17/3/11

30.3.11

~~Petitioner~~ Petrs both present. (Nagappa & Venkatasubbaya) say that they took the village on lease & enjoyed it 2 years, and they want it back again to be enjoyed accdg to the contract.)

Their statement is recorded -

The matter will be disposed of in due course

3 3 11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 5 10

Serial No.

The order of Govt did not expressly direct the dispossession of the lessees. In fact the question whether the lease should be continued was reserved for further consideration. The Dy Cr however understood the order in that sense, and took possession of the villages. It is of course absurd to contend that the lessees who gave up possn in obedience to the order of a public functionary did so voluntarily.

The taking of possn was ~~abse~~ quite wrong. The Govt in the Revn Dept could not decide the dispute between the Mathas & its lessees; and the Swami's placing the property under the Management of Govt could not [illegible]

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

the lessees of their right to remain in possession, until ousted in due legal course.

As the Petrs have now left it to Govt to decide the matter after reconsideration, I think that we at once direct the villages to be restored to them, reserving the right to receive the rent ~~received~~ due accg to agreement on behalf of the Govt. Mr. ~~Hamilton~~ The question how long they should retain possn need not be decided by us, but may be left to be determined accg to law.

H

D'Souza 30/3/17.

[OTE—Contn. sheet.]

GPB—50 000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 19

Serial No.

123

Submitted to Diwan

Ambhaiya

1/4/90

10900

3.4.10

1 The position is perplexing -

2 If the villages are to be restored to the Raja (lease) & held good there is no need for Govt management, Nothing for Govt to manage or to do beyond effecting the stipulated rent from the Petitioners -

3 The villages are admittedly in the possession of Govt under Govt management - It can hardly be that the local officials took forcible possession - The surrender by the petitioners may well be regarded as acquiescence arising from the verbal stipulations alleged by the Swami - The position is however perplexing -

4 - Under para 3 of the GO no 1211 & 29 Aug 89 the most that can be done probably is to let the Petitioners hold the villages by lease from Government -

5 I don't understand how the Swami's father of the Mulbagal matter

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inwr File No. 288 of 1907

Serial No.

Can be held to have exceeded his powers by leasing the villages for the limited period of 10 years.
6 The file may be sent to the Municipality for the favour of his remarks & opinion.

Submitted to Trial Committee
12/4/14

2748
19-4-11

The dispossession of the Petrs took place in 1907. The Swamigalu is now expected back from his tour. The final settlement of the Petrs' claim may well wait until after the Swamis return. It may be possible to effect an amicable & equitable settlement between the parties.
The villages are devadayam inam and it is a question whether the Swamis action in leasing them for 10 years (without Committee it is alleged)

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-5-10

Serial No.

A draft order is submitted according to ~~person~~ instructions personally given.

If approved, a brief endorsement will also be given to petr that the Dy Comr has been directed to restore the properties to the lessees on their executing a Kuteha-lika to pay the rent due under the lease to the ~~Govt~~ Govt, so long as the Govt retains the management.

2nd Councillor) 30.4.11

2064. Draft appd. Encl. may be sent to Petrs.

1/5/11

Some advance.

1/5/11.

Submitted with a d/f. endorsement.

AV (132) 3.5.1911 4/5/11

Done 4/5/11

GPB—50,000—12-8 10

Presented by Mr. C. V. Narasimhaiengar in person. 18/10/08.

Please continue note for orders soon. 19/10/08

To,

The Secretary
to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzari Department.

In the matter of the lease of the villages appertaining to the Majjigehalli Mutt, Kolar District.

Petitioner,
Nagappa son of Subbarayappa, residing in the village of Devarayasamudra -- Mulabagal Taluk.

The petitioner abovenamed most respectfully prays that the benign Government may be pleased to reconsider its order No. 124-2 dated the 29th August 07 and to pass such order as it may deem proper in the light of the following circumstances:-

1. That the petitioner is the lessee of the villages of Tambehalli, Medtambehalli, Kammir and Kamadatti, of which the first two are situated in the Kolar Taluk and the latter two in the Mulabagal Taluk.

2. That the said villages were granted as Jodi to the ancestors and relatives of the petitioner and another Yagnnarayndeekshitar, who conceded a portion of their rights and preveleges in them to the Majjigehalli Mutt from motives of charity and the latter was in enjoyment of them thereafter till 1905 when the petitioner, the said Narayanadeekshitar, and one Venkata Subbiah obtained lease of the villages from the Shastri of the Mutt under a registered lease deed for a period

3. That the lease was granted by the Swami on very favourable terms to himself as during the period of his possession and enjoyment he found that the -- villages did not yield sufficient income both for his maintenance and for carrying out the improvements he projected, but which he believed the lessees were able to carry out by reason of their being already in possession of portions of the villages which they maintained in a flourishing condition.

4. That this lease was effected on the 10th February 1905 on which date the lessees paid the Swami Rs.600/- of the lease amount in premium and regularly made payments thereafter to the Swami and paid the Jodi to the Government as they were enjoined to do by the lease deed.

5. That the Swami having previously made certain alienations in favour of the some persons which he - agreed by the lease deed to have settled and finding it inconvenient to abide by those terms as required by the lessees he wanted to avoid the lease and made attempts in various ways to get behind it, in all of which he -- failed and finally resorted to make his own representations to the Amildar all untrue to the extreme, which the Amildar believed and reported to the higher authorities without holding any enquiry or taking any explanation from the lessees.

6. That both the Sub Division Officer and the - Deputy Commissioner acted on that report and made a - recommendation to the Government to resume the Villages for Government management and to pay the Swami Rs.75/- a month for his subsistence.

7. That both the Sub Division Officer and the Deputy Commissioner have made this recommendation - exparte altogether ignoring the rights of the lessees and the effect/ recommendation would have against the lease.

8. That the Government has also acted on the recommendation and passed ex-parte orders without hearing the petitioner or giving ~~the~~ him any notice of the matter being dealt with by it.

9. That the order while leaving the question of the lease open for seperate settlement, the Deputy - Commissioner has in giving effect to the order has gone very much beyond it and disturbed the lessees of the - possession and enjoyment.

10. That if the purport of the order is as given - effect to by the Deputy Commissioner the petitioner submits that there are no valid grounds to annul the lease or disturb the petitioner of his possession and enjoyment of the villages.

11. That the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner was itself un-called for as the grievance if any of the Mutt was to be the subject of adjudication as - between party and party in the Civil Courts as the - Government itself was not sized of the jurisdiction by reason of these villages not being Inam villages endowed as Devadaya to the Mutt to come within the purview of the Government Notification No. 286 dated 17th November 1877.

12. That as regards the power of the Swami to - alienate these villages it is a matter not without -- precedents in as much as the Swami has made alienations

of

of them on previous accasions and when it was the subject of decision the Inam Comrissioner has by his order dated the 19th May 1876 recognized the right of the Swamy to do so, and the allegation of the Swamy that the lessees did not pay the lease amount or the Government dues is untrue as in addition to the payment of Rs.600/- already adverted to the lessees have paid what was due to the Swamy to the very last pie for the two years and also the Government dues payable by the lessees a portion of which the Government chose and appropriated towards the arrears of previous - years, in spite of their remmitance by the lessees for the current years of the lease.

13. That it is evident from the fact of the Swamy - allowing the arrears of jodi to accumulate that during the time he was in management of the villages he was not able to realise sufficiently to pay the Government dues.

14. That the lessees in proof of the assertion that they have regularly paid the dues are prepared to produce vouchers of unquestionable authenticity if the Covernment should desire their production.

15. That the order of the Government proceeds to say that the Mutt was mismanaged which warranted the interference of the Government, but it is no where shown that the lessees were responsible to it in any way but the Swamy who has reaped an advantage by allegingacts for which no other than himself was to blame.

16. That the order of the Government is opposed to - the spirit of the Government Notification of 1877 which - first requires the alienor to answer before interfering with the alienation itself.

17. That it is an indirect method adopted by the

the Swamy is clear from the fact that the alleged mismanagement only of the villages leased and not of the other villages of which he holds possession and which -- form the endowed property of the Mutt.

18. That the allegation of the Swamy that the lease was not itself bonafide but colorable is not substantiated by good reasons and is also untrue, which the petitioner is prepared to prove with reference to the letters he has from the Swamy.

19. That the lease itself has been effected to the best advantage of the Mutt and for an amount more than what the Swamy was able to get in the average or what the --- Government derived during the 8 years of its management from Swabhanu to Virodhi ie., from 1884 to 1892.

20. That the petitioner and the other lessees will be highly prejudiced by being disturbed of the villages as they have spent considerably and effected improvements with a hope of being compensated by the income during the rest of the -- period of the lease.

21. That all the other allegations the Swamy has chosen to make against the petitioner and the other lessees are false and fabricated which the petitioner is prepared to prove provided an opportunity be given to him.

22. That the petitioner therefore most respectfully prays that the benign Government may be pleased to confirm the lease for the period covered ~~up~~ in the lease deed from the Swamy and to direct the Deputy Commissioner to restore the villages to the petitioner and the other lessees and thus confir a boon on the petitioner.

For this act of kindness the petitioners shall ever pray.

Dated the 16th January 1908.

Mem

(Guntur District)

From,

His Holiness Sri Srinivasa Madhava
Teerthaswami Sri Padangalvaru
of Uttaradi Math, Mulabagal Mysore.
now sojourning at
Mangalagiri Guntur District

To

The Secretary, to Govt
to His Highness the Maharajah of
Mysore, Muzarai Deptt.
Bangalore

Sir,

Since my last letter re restoration of the Math Villages to me, I understand that the Idaredars, in whose favor I executed a nominal lease, (out of which transaction, the Benign Government had to take up temporarily the management of the Villages) have now sent a notice to claim the restoration of the Villages in their favor

2 I also learn that the Subject has been referred for enquiry to the Honorable Narayanda Aiyar alleged one of the members of His Highness' Council

3. I need hardly state that the invalidity of the lease, its nominal execution and want of my power to transfer the Villages however temporary

have been fully dealt with by the
Deputy Commissioner as well as by His
Highness the Benga Government
4 The object of the temporary resumption
of the management by Govt of the Mall
Villages has been to relieve me of certain
troubles. Now that they are over, the Villages
should, I beg to urge, be restored to me
but never to the Sirdars
5. Should however, the Sirdars threaten
the Government with a suit, I hereby agree
to defend the same and to bear the costs
of myself as well as those of the Government
all along.
6. I therefore beg that all the papers which
led to the Government management, as well
as this and all my previous letters asking
for restoration in my favor be also forwarded
to the Honorable Member for his kind
personal and favorable Consideration, and
that previous intimation of the date of enquiry
be given to me - I also beg that the Villages

that I may be saved from further difficulties and troubles for the due worship of Sri Ram Deva for the well being and prosperity of the Benign Govt

God save Her Highness the Maharajah and Her Highness the Maharanee

Yours most obtly

1917.

No

Name of Petitioner Nagappa

Village— Devarajapura

Taluk Mulbagal

District — Kolar

Date of Arzi 29th April

Date of Receipt— ——— Do

Date of Disposal

No of Enclosure —

ABSTRACT

To The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore

Petitioner is a lessee of two villages belonging to the Mutt of Kaladi — His possession has been disturbed — under Govt. orders Agreement has expired —

Petitioner prays that he & the other joint tenant (the third name is stated to be dead) may be granted the same lease deed which they executed to the Mutt authorities

29/4/17

Draft order submitted as per personal instructions of Mr. Clarke vide note sheet in file 28-07.

30/4/17

Reading Disposal —
Mr Peters that
we decay will
cause loss to him,
can [illegible] this
be proved —

DR
29 4 11

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ ਜੀ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਜੀ

ਮਿਤੀ ਲਿਖਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ - ਮਿਤੀ 29-4-1911

ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਪਾਸ ਇਹ ਅਰਜ਼ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਲਿਖ ਰਿਹਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਪਾਸ ਕੁਝ ਦਿਨ ਹੋਏ ਇਕ ਅਰਜ਼ੀ ਭੇਜੀ ਸੀ ਜਿਸ ਵਿਚ ਮੈਂ ਆਪਣੀ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਧਾ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਸੀ ਪਰ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਅਜੇ ਤੱਕ ਉਸ ਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਉੱਤਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਮਿਲਿਆ ਇਸ ਲਈ ਮੈਂ ਫਿਰ ਤੁਹਾਨੂੰ ਯਾਦ ਕਰਾਉਂਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੇਰੀ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਧਾ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਕਿਰਪਾਲਤਾ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਕਿਉਂਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਇਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਬਹੁਤ ਤੰਗੀ ਵਿਚ ਹਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਮੇਰੇ ਪਾਸ ਕੋਈ ਹੋਰ ਸਾਧਨ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਤੋਂ ਮੈਂ ਆਪਣੇ ਖਰਚ ਪੂਰੇ ਕਰ ਸਕਾਂ ਇਸ ਲਈ ਮੈਂ ਆਸ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੇਰੀ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਧਾ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਕਿਰਪਾਲਤਾ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ

ਤੁਹਾਡਾ ਆਗਿਆਕਾਰ ਸੇਵਕ ਦਸਤਖਤ ਕੀਤੇ ਜਾਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਦਸਤਖਤ

RAJ SECRETARIAT

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

the

11 That they were unlawfully dispossessed

MYSORE GUVERNMENT
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

in his Purvasrama, against him and began to give him all possible trouble.

With a view to get rid of these mischievous persons the Swami ignorant of the consequences and of law, seems to have executed a karar casing out the two Jodi villages to Nagabha of Vijalapur, Yagna Narayana Deekshit of Devarayasamudra & Venkatasubbiah, son of Kasappa Matt Agent for a period of ten years with the private understanding that the transaction was meant only for one year after which the executants had to give up the villages to the possession of the Swami

The executants having failed to keep to their promise have even withheld the personal comforts and conveniences from the Swami, who represented the whole state of affairs to the Amildar of Kolar, who, after personally looking into the situation, has submitted a detailed memo on the subj

From this it will be observed that the Swami has been subjected to the greatest misery and that he has even left the place

for circuhali ii- [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

In margin

Li Thambikalli Rs 525-10
Sadhi Kanamu - 1548 3 7 } not possible to ascertain
Total Rs 2074-13 } where the above sums
are entered in the last

in the margin

the Swami was thankful [illegible]
able that the inam villages should be [illegible]
very temporarily under personal [illegible]
ment, a reasonable remission [illegible]
Matt for the usual [illegible]

The affairs of the [illegible]
immediately [illegible]
which [illegible]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT * MUZRAI SECRETARIAT *

[illegible] two main villages of the Gollatt, may be [illegible] overlakin von under [illegible] Government [illegible] amounting [illegible] Rs 375/- sanctioned for the current expenses of the Gollatt [illegible] of the headmen of the said villages. [illegible] stated that the said main villages [illegible] enjoyed the settlement which is [illegible] framing the one. Would it [illegible] be [illegible] to [illegible] prepare for the introduction of Survey settlement in this [illegible] with [illegible]

ix The Deputy Commissioner's letter [illegible] above shows that the affairs of the [illegible] Gollatt at Mulbagal have been very [illegible] mismanaged of late and that this is [illegible] to a large extent to the existence of factions [illegible] against the Swamigalu. The Swamigalu [illegible] leased out the main Villages of [illegible] in the Kolar [illegible] Mulbagal [illegible] the Gollatt

contract + not paying

even the [illegible] 2. The Swamigalu gain ther[illegible] that the villagers in question may be taken over under Government management temporarily, and the head-officials removed, he being given an allowance of Rs 100/- a month for his personal expenses. The Deputy Commissioner strongly recommended the proposal, as the Swamigalu was represented quite helpless owing to the machinations of his enemies.

2. Under these circumstances, Chief

that is, owing to mismanagement and the fact that the Swamigalu himself is in the villages at the disposal of Government and, Government directs that the Chief Commissioner villages of Coorg shall be taken over under management temporarily.

3. [illegible]

to the Government [illegible]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT

Chey — for expenditure is put down at Rs 100/- by the Swamigalu Chey.

[illegible] 7 [illegible] the [illegible] in the contract of lease [illegible] a wealthy and prosperous person and a pleasant companion and also [illegible] with the Swamigalu of the [illegible] the [illegible]

Sd/ L. Anantasami Ra
Secy to Govt Mun. Deptt.

[illegible] Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District,
returning herewith [illegible]
[illegible] the grant [illegible]
[illegible]

L. Venkata Rao

Chey

[illegible] Mr. C. V. Narasimha [illegible]

[illegible] 4-11-07

[illegible] 18.1.08

[illegible] 840

[illegible] 1-2-0.

[illegible] 0-3-0

Carriage [illegible] 0-0-9

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

Acknowledgment.

I. P.

(To be returned to office of posting for delivery to sender.)

RECEIVED a registered No.

addressed to (name)

insured for Rs

Signature of addressee

Date of delivery 190 .

* Write here "letter," "post card," "packet," or "parcel," as the case may be with the word "insured" when the article is insured.

To be filled up only in the case of insured articles, and to be scored out in the case of other articles.


CHANDRAGIRI
1 JUL 07
PM
To
Name stamp of
office of posting
DEVARA
KOLAR

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೃಇಮಾ/ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ/ಟೆಂಡರ್/ಎಸ್.ಎಫ್.ಸಿ/೨೦೦೭-೦೮/೧೨೩

ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು
ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಯಾಂತ್ರೀಕರಣ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ
ಮಂಡ್ಯ

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 12/3/07

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಯಾಂತ್ರೀಕರಣ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ ಮಂಡ್ಯ ಇವರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಮೊಹರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ 20/3/07 ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 12 ಗಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅಂದು ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 12.30 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ತೆರೆಯಲಾಗುವುದು. ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವವರು ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟು ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತ

ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಹೆಸರು	ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತ	ಧರೋಹಣ ಹಣ	ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಶುಲ್ಕ
1	ಮಂಡ್ಯ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಕಿರುಗಾವಲು ಹೋಬಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಚಾಲಿತ ಸಿಂಪರಣಾ ಯಂತ್ರ ಪೂರೈಕೆ	2,506	2,506	100
2	ಮಂಡ್ಯ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಕಿರುಗಾವಲು ಹೋಬಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಚಾಲಿತ ಸಿಂಪರಣಾ ಯಂತ್ರ ಪೂರೈಕೆ	2,506	2,506	100

ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು

1. ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವವರು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತದ ಶೇಕಡಾ 5 ರಷ್ಟು ಧರೋಹಣ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕೃಷಿ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು, ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಯಾಂತ್ರೀಕರಣ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ ಮಂಡ್ಯ ಇವರ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ಡಿ.ಡಿ. ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಡೆದು ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ನೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಬೇಕು.
2. ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವವರು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತದ ಶೇಕಡಾ 1 ರಷ್ಟು ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಶುಲ್ಕವನ್ನು ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕೃಷಿ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು, ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಯಾಂತ್ರೀಕರಣ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ ಮಂಡ್ಯ ಇವರ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ಡಿ.ಡಿ. ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಡೆದು ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ನೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಬೇಕು.
3. ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವವರು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತದ ಶೇಕಡಾ 2 ರಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತಕ್ಕೆ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗದಂತೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದ ಪಡೆದ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ನೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಬೇಕು.
4. ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವವರು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತದ ಶೇಕಡಾ 2 ರಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತಕ್ಕೆ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗದಂತೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದ ಪಡೆದ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ನೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಬೇಕು.
5. ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವವರು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತದ ಶೇಕಡಾ 2 ರಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತಕ್ಕೆ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗದಂತೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದ ಪಡೆದ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ನೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಬೇಕು.
6. ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವವರು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತದ ಶೇಕಡಾ 2 ರಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತಕ್ಕೆ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗದಂತೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದ ಪಡೆದ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ನೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಪುಟ ೦೨೬೯
31
10

(ರ ಶೀ ದು-) 33

ನಂಬರ್

ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಆಫೀಸಿನವರಿಗೆ

ಸಲಿಕೆಯಾಗುವ

ಒಂದು ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿತು.

ಮೇಣೆ ಆರ್ಡರು ಆದೆ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ-

ಕೆಳಗೆ ವಿವರಿಸಿದಮೇರೆಗೆ (ಅಕ್ಷರದಲ್ಲಿ)

ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಹಿತ :—

	ರೂ.	ಆ.
ಕ್ರಯ (ಪೊಬಲಗು) ...	60	—
ಕಮ್ಮಿಷನ್	6	—

ಭೀಮೆಮೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಅದೆದು ಆದೆ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ-

(ಅಂಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ)

(ಅಕ್ಷರದಲ್ಲಿ)

ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಭೀಮಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ್ಟ ಇದೆ.

ತೂಕ ಅಕ್ಷರದಲ್ಲಿ { ರೇಟುಗಳು (ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ) / ಜೊಲೆಗಳು (ಭಾಂಗಿಗಳಿಗೆ)

ಭೀಮೆಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡದೆ ರಿಜಸ್ಟ್ರಿ) ಭಾಂಗಿ ಆದೆ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ-

ಜೊಲೆಗಳಾಗಿ ತೂಕ

ಬ್ಯಾಂಜಾಫೀಸಿನ

6 JL. 07

ತಾರೀಖು ಮೊದಲಾದ.

ಬ್ಯಾಂಜು ಪೋಸ್ಟ್‌ಮಾಸ್ಟರ್.

for expenditure is put down at Rs 100/- by the Swamigalu
swamigalu himself and Rs 75/- by the Deputy

ನಂ.

ಜೋಕ್ಯ ಆಫೀಸಿನ

ಒಂದು ಚೆಗಡ್ಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತುಂಬಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿತು.

ಮೇಣ ಆರ್ಡರು ಆದ ವಕ್ಷದಿಂ

ಕೆಳಗೆ ನಮೂದಿಸಿದಪ್ರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ (ಅಕ್ಷರದಲ್ಲಿ)

ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಹಿತ :—

ರೂ. ಆ.

ಕ್ರಯ (ಮೊತ್ತಲಗು) ...

ಕಮ್ಮಿಷನ್ .. ...

ಭೀಮೆಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಅದರು ಆದೆ ವಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ -

(ಅಂಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ)

(ಅಕ್ಷರದಲ್ಲಿ)

ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಭೀಮಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಇದೆ.

ತೂಕ ಅಕ್ಷರದಲ್ಲಿ { ರೇಟುಗಳು (ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ) { ತೊಟಿಗಳು (ಭಾಂಗಿಗಳಿಗೆ)

ಭೀಮೆಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡದೆ ರಿಜಸ್ಟ್ರಿ) ಭಾಂಗಿ ಆದೆ ವಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ -

ತೊಲೆಗಳಾಗಿ ತೂಕ

ಬ್ಯಾಂಬ್ಯಾಫೀಸಿನ

ತಾರೀಖು ಮುದ್ರೆ.

ಬ್ಯಾಂಬು ಪೋಸ್ಟ್‌ಮಾಸ್ಟರ್.

Speech - The amount to be paid to the
for expenditure is put down at Rs 100/- by the Swamigalu
Swamigalu, Umesh and Rs 75/- by the Deputy

श्रीमद्वीरस्य चंद्रायनमः







15/4/21

15/4/21

श्रीमद्दा रामचंद्रायनमः

श्रीमन्माधवतीर्थश्रीस्वामिरायरसंस्थानपतिगलश्री
[illegible]

ಚಾಂಚಿನಸಭಾಮಂಟಪಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದು ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರವೇಶಿಸಿ ಸಭಾಮಧ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಂತು ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಹೇಳಿದನು. ಸಭಾಸದರೇ, ಕೇಳಿ. ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿರುವ ಸಭಾಸದರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಸಭಾಪತಿಯಾಗಿ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಸಮರ್ಥರಿದ್ದರೆ ಅವರು ಮುಂದೆ ಬಂದು ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಸಭಾಪತಿಯಾಗಿ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಸಮರ್ಥರಾದವರು ಯಾರೂ ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿರುವ ಸಭಾಸದರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಒಬ್ಬರು ಸಭಾಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಆರಿಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಸಭಾಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಆರಿಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿ

ಗಣಪಾಗಿ = ಕದಿಂಬಕ್ಕೆ ಗಿಡವನ್ನು ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು
ಕಳಚಿಕೆ = ಅಂಗಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಪದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಯವನ್ನು ಕಂಡಿರಿಸಿದರು

ಸಿಲ್ಲಿಗೆ

ಕೈಕವಳಾಗಿ ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಕೈಕವಳಾಗಿ ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು

ಆಕಾಶಕ್ಕೆ ಚಿಮ್ಮಿ ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು

ಕೈಕವಳಾಗಿ ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು

ಸಿಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಅಂಗಾಲಿನಿಂದ ಕೈಕವಳಾಗಿ ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು

ಕಾಲವಶಕ್ಕೆ ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು

ಗಣಗಳಿಗೆ - ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಅಂಗಾಲಿನಿಂದ ಕೈಕವಳಾಗಿ
ಕಂಡಿರುವಂಥ ಕೈಕವಳಾಗಿ ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು

ಗಣಗಳಿಗೆ =

ಆಕಾಶಕ್ಕೆ ಚಿಮ್ಮಿ ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಗಣಗಳಿಗೆ =

ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಅಂಗಾಲಿನಿಂದ ಕೈಕವಳಾಗಿ ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು
ಆಕಾಶವನ್ನು ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಕೈಕವಳಾಗಿ ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಕಾಲವಶಕ್ಕೆ
ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದು ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಕಾಲವಶಕ್ಕೆ ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಕಾಲವಶಕ್ಕೆ
ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು
ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು
ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು
ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು ಕಂಡಿರಾಯರು

23 — 2

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಇಆರ್/140-ಪಿ
ಕೆಇಆರ್ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ
ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ
ದಿನಾಂಕ: 31-5-86

27 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]





ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

190 7 –190 8 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನಂಬರು.

ಹಾಜಿ, ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

ಮು॥ರಾ॥ ಅವರಿಗೆ.

ಬರಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ತನಾಮ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಿವಾದಿ ಪ್ಲೈಸಸ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗೆ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರೀ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಸಹ ಪಡದುಕೊಂಡು ರಶೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗುವ ದಾವಾ ಸಾಮಾನು ಪ್ರೋಸೆಸ್ ಸಾಮಾನು ಸಹ ಪಡದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಡಸ್ಟ್ರಿ ವಜಾ ಷೆಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಡಿಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲೂ ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

ರುಜು.

ತಾರೀಖು 16 / 08

ಈ ವೊಕ್ಕಾರ್ನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷವು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

ಈ ವೊಕ್ಕಾರ್ನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇದೆ

ಈ ಫಾರಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ ಪಾಸ್ಕೀಪರ್ಯೆಂ ನಂಜುಂಡಪ್ಪ ಎಂಬವರ ಪ್ರೆಸ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅಚ್ಚಾಯಿತು

Copy of Inward Letter

Copy of Outward Letter

Copy of Inward Letter

Copy of Outward Letter

From Revy F 28:-07

To

The Dy Commr
Kolar Distt.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. 3571-
No.

Enclosure No.

Adverting to Govt

Circ. no 1211-2 dated 29th
Revy 7.29 1907

August 1907 directing the assumption by Government of the management of the Inam villages belonging to the Majjigehalli matt at Mulbagal, has the honor to forward herewith for favor of enquiry and detailed report, review application

Gtl—3 000- 19-9 07

January 1908

Nagappa of Devaraya-
mudra in the Mulbagal
Taluk praying for a
consideration of the
ongoing orders of Govt.
so far as they relate
to the lease of ~~certain~~ the
all villages in the Kolar
& Mulbagal Taluks.

By order,

Dbl 31/1/08
Secretary.

Govt. To the pet. 28/1/08

ಮೈಸೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮೆ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಚಳವಳಿಮಾಹೆ ೮೪ ನೇ

ಮತ್ತು ೨೪೬೨ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ~~ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗಿತ್ತು~~. ಮತ್ತ

ಅಕ್ಷೆ ಆಗಿ ಸಹಿ ಅಂಕಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿ

ಕಡತ ಸಹಿತ ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿಸಿದೆ - ತಿಳಿಯಾವದು

ಇತಿ ಆಜ್ಞಾ

By order

Secretary

2

From

The Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Govern
- ment of Mysore, Muzra.
Departmen

Sir,

As requested in your docket-
3542 dated 3rd February 1908. I have
honor to forward herewith, copies of Inam
Registers of the villages noted in the mar
There is no village as Medy Tamlehalli in the
Office registers.

I have the honor to
Sir,
Your most obedient Serva

Superintendent of In
Settlements in Myso

1. Kandalli Kaveriapur
2. Kaveriehalli

Submitted with a Reminder to Dy. Commr., Kolar Distt.

Register - Sheet No. 67

Village — Kammadatty Kammanoor
Hobli — Arsani
Taluk — Mulabagal.

jody village

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5

Personal
now
/ Devadaya

As per Cauvery account
of 1805.
Ayakatt of the vill
C C. P
143 - 4 - 1¼
Deduct Jari Inc
dry 2 - 19 - 4½
wet 6 - 11 - 14¼
9 - 4 - 2¾
Balance 133 - 12 - 14½
Particulars
Dry
Cultivated 25 - 13 - 5½
Cultivable 5 - 5 - 5
Uncultivable 4 - 13 - 7
35 - 12 - 17/2
Wet
Cultivated 57 - 13 - 4¼
Cultivable 8 - 10 - 15¼
Uncultivable 3 - 8 - 5¼
69 - 12 - 8¾
Poramboke
Dry 24 - 17 - 4¼
wet 3 - 11 - 0
28 - 8 - 4¼
Total 133 - 12 - 14½

(X X)
Add area 2
resumed.
Inams, vide Dry 0 - 4 - 11
Case No 2 wet 1 - 3 - 15¾
1 - 8 - 10¼
134 - 1 - 8¾

Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c, whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, &c or liable to quit-rent, i. e, jodi, &c, amount of latter to be entered
6	7	8	9

Beriz Pagodas
363-1-4
Deduct Jari Inams
77-8-13
Inaph
50-0-0

Balance 235-2-7
or Rupees
684-5-7
Deduct
f 21-3-1¼
or Rupees
61-15-9
for the land taken up for Palmanair Road as per late ~~Baris~~ Bangalore Division Superintendents' order No. 255 of 14 August 1846
Balance
Rs. 622-5-10
Add the value of a minor Devadaya resumed Inam
Add- 9-14-11
145-7-3
Total 777-12-0

For personal benefit but now converted into Devadaya

Jody as per account f 235-2-7 or Rs. 684-5-7 now paid Pag: 138-6-14 3/4 or Rs. 403-7-5 as per late Bangalore ~~Deputy~~ Division Superintendents' orders No. 477 2nd March 1839 and No. 255 of 14th August 1846.

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sanad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

I Sanad from His late Highness the Maharaja dated 10th November 1818 authorizing one Kaifiyat to enjoy this village
Jody 7 P. 235-2-7.

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poonnish up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14

Pynaish account of 1805.

Asaly — 1
Dakhaly — 1
Coonte — 5

Ayakatt of the village

	C. C. P
	143 - 4 - 1¼
Deduct Jari Inams	
Dry	2 - 19 - 4½
Wet	6 - 11 - 14¼
	9 - 11 - 2¾
Balance	133 - 12 - 14½

Particulars

Dry	C. C. P
Cultivated	25 - 13 - 5½
Cultivable	5 - 5 - 5
Inn Do.	4 - 13 - 7
	35 - 12 - 1½
Wet	
Cultivated	57 - 13 - 4¼
Cultivable	8 - 10 - 15¼
Inn Do.	5 - 8 - 5¼
	69 - 12 - 8¾

Poraimboke

Dry	24 - 17 - 4¼
Wet	3 11 — 0
	28 - 8 - 4¼
Total	133 - 12 - 14½

Godi village account of 1830

Kambadatt y Kaima-noor village.

Kasipatnah

Asali — 1
Dakhali — 1
Kunte — 5

P. J. V

Beriy	298 6 14
Jaory Beriy	64 - 4 - 6
	63 1 - 4

Deduct Jari

Inam	- 77 - 8 - 13
Mapk	- 50 - 0 - 0
	27 - 8 - 13
Balance Jari	2 · 7

(Not legible)

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner If the main lot is been subdivided, the name, &c of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, &c	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18

Moolbagal
Irinjagahully
Mutt.

alienee

The priest for the time being

The priest of the Mutt obtained this in gift on the 29th March /34 from Vasudeva the original, gr Stamp deed of gift is produced

I OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20

A complete personal grant and it is valid under Rule II.

2. The village was originally granted on jodi tenure for the personal benefit of the holder, but it was subsequently transferred to the mutt, which will not however be exempted from the undemnification fee.

3. This Inam is subject to the irrigation and local fund cesses the former cannot be fixed by this office having no data to be guided by and the latter is Rupees 25-15-0 as per Talook accounts.

4. The Sayer and abkary are reserved to the State and the Inamdars right to forest if any is subject to the reservation contained in the Chief Commissioner's Notification of 26th June 1869.

5. The agent of the mutt has elected a block Survey to this Inam.

6. The following items are enjoyed by the mutt
1 Land Revenue
2 Amroji
3 Mohatarfa
4. Country salt
5. Rent from date Trees
6 Forest

of 2nd March 1839 from the late Bangalore Division Superintendents and Rupees 61-15-9 for the land taken up for a public road leading to Palamnair Vide late Bangalore Division Superintendents' order No. 255 of 14th August 1846.

5. The asli and Dakhali villages are close together and they are not intervened with the Government land.

6. The area in column 4 is to be confirmed on 1/8th Quit Rent 45-8-8 plus the existing jody 403-7-5 and the value of resumed Inam ——— 9-14-11 in all Rupees ——— 458-15-4. under Rule VI compulsory ——— 459——

(Signed) Syed Hasanuddeen
Offg. Asst.
30-4-74

Boundaries.

North by Circar Hanoomanahully & Hollaor village
East by jody Devarayasamudra of Kolar jody Battegherahully & circar Doddganahully Mulabagal Talook.
West By Kshetranady——
South — By Circar Hoollaor and the bed of the tank of jody Holaly of Kolar, & circar Bellamvalla of Mulabagal Talook.

Note, The Survey valuation of the village gives to each Inam of the old series Rs. 0-6-6 which is adopted in valuing the Minor Inams.

Review by the special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner

20.

1 Jodi valid.
2. Whole grant
3. Valid Rule 1 & 3.
4. Extent in column 4.
5. Before confirming this Inam I must see the late Bangalore Division Superintendent's 47 of 2nd March 1839.

18-6-75 [Sd] Robt Cole.

6. Vide Commissioner's No 12 of 25th June 1875. Confirmed on a combined Quit Rent of Rs 2-4-5 Compulsory.
7. The village is subject to local fund & Irrigation cesses.
8. This right to sayer, Abkari & forests are reserved
9. Block Survey is required & the boundaries to be demarkated by the Survey Department.

2-7-75 [Signed] Robt Cole Supt

1. Vide Government Proceedings No 4701-9/133 dated 2nd September 1881.
2. Vide also the statement received with Survey Superintendent's docket No 793 dated 21st December 1887.

	A. G.	Rs. a P
Total area & assessment	1465.39	1475-12-0
Deduct for 14 Minor Inams	68.19	277-4-0
Balance	1397.20	1198-8-0

Particulars

wet { Cultivated - 77 · 21 399-4-
Culturable (waste) 50 · 6 63-12-0 (1/4 cast)
Garden Cultivated — 87 · 22 309-0-0
Total 1397 · 20 1198-8—0

Former garry — — — — — 403-7-5

1/8 Quit Rent on the difference of Rs. 1198-8-0 (403-7-5+32-0-0) = 763-0-7 } 95-6-1

Full Pro asst of 3 resumed Minor Inams in cases Nos 2,9 & 11 } 32—0—0

Total - 530-13-6 or

avoiding fractions Rupees 531—0—0

3. Finally confirmed accordingly.

9-3-94. (Signed) J.B. Grant Supt.

4. Revised as per the Government Pro: No. 14223-5 / P 7100-93 dated 17th February 1894.

5. Vide the statement received with the Survey Superintendent's yadi No. 265 dated 23rd July 1896.

		A-G.-R.-a.-P.
Total area & assessment	1465 · 39	1269-8-0
Deduct for 16 Minor Inams	68 · 19	277-4-0
Total	1397 · 20	992-4-0

Particulars

Unculturable —	542-1 ·	8-8-0
Dry		
Cultivated —	196 · 0	205-8-0
Culturable (waste)	444 · 10	152-8-0 1/4 cast
wet		
Cultivated —	73 · 21	351-4-0
Culturable waste —	54 · 6	75-12-0 1/4 cast
Garden		
Cultivated —	65 · 33	162-0-0

Full pro Survey assts on Inams. — 32-0-0

435-7-5

1/8 Quit Rent on the differences Q R ± 556-12-7 — 69-9-6

Total 505-0-11

or avoiding fractions Rs 505-0-0

5. Finally confirmed accordingly.

(Signed) J. P. Grant.
Supt of Inam Settlt in Mysore

4-10-98

"Copy"

Compd

Bangalore dated 21/22nd February 1908

D Manickam
Supt of Inam Settlt in Mysore

Register Sheet No. 332

Village – Jodi Tambihalli

Taluk – Kolar.

egis e

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acre, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5

Personal now Mutt Inam

As per Pymaishy made in 1863 by the Revenue authorities at the instance of the zamindar ayakut.

Dry	35-5-6¾	466.27
wet	57-1-8	117.57
Bazayet	"-16-"	1.65
	93-2-14¾	585.49

Deduct Jaru Inams

Dry	2-18-4¾	38-53
wet	10-17-4	22.38
	13-15-8¾	60.91
Balance	79-7-6	524.58

Particulars

Dry		
Cultivated	15-15-0½	208.24
Cultivable	2-2-10½	28.19
Uncultivable	12-8-0	163.93
	30-5-11	400.36
Poramboke —	1-16-1	23.84
Amroyi —	"-5-6	3.54
wet		
Cultivated	33-8-13½	68.89
Cultivable	9-5-6½	19.09
	42-14-4	87.98
Poramboke	3-10-0	7.21
Bazayet —	"-16-"	1.65
Total	79-7-6	524.58

amma 0

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c, whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, or liable to quit-rent, i. e. jodi, &c, amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9

C. P

285-6-9

of which deduct

on a/c of garry

Inams ₹ 31-4-13

Nett ₹ 254-1-12

or

Rs 739-6-8

deduct

on account

of land taken

up for public

purposes —

₹ 8-6-15

Balance ₹ 245-4-13

or

Rupees 714-2-1

Add full assessment

on resumed personal wet

Inam Rs. 0-6-5

Total Rupees

714-8-6

For the benefit of the holder

Jodi

₹ 101-3-1

or

Rs 294-11-5

Add full assessment on resumed Inam 0-6-5

295-1-10.

Statement shewing the income of the jodi village, during the last 10 years

Year	Land	Umroji	Salt pans	Total
	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p
1861	390-0-0	30-0-0	—	420-0-0
62	380-0-0	28-0-0	2-0-0	410-0-0
63	345-0-0	22-0-0	3-0-0	370-0-0
64	390-0-0	28-0-0	2-0-0	420-0-0
65	442-0-0	26-0-0	2-0-0	470-0-0
66	350-0-0	30-0-0	—	380-0-0
67	370-0-0	23-0-0	2-0-0	395-0-0
68	390-0-0	27-0-0	3-0-0	420-0-0
69	375-0-0	19-0-0	1-0-0	395-0-0
70	380-0-0	28-0-0	2-0-0	410-0-0
Total	3812-0-0	261-0-0	17-0-0	4090-0-0

An average ₹ 409-0-0

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sanad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

His Highness the late Maha rajah Krishna raja Vadeyar in 1821.

I Heroop from His Highness the late Maharajah Krishnaraj Vadeyar to Venkatramiah Amildar of Kolar directing him to allow the village of Tambikally asali & Dakhali to Makkanji Syamiah to be enjoyed by him on paying a jodi of Rs 177-4-0 Annually dated in 1821.

Note

The Survey valuation of the village gives to each fanam of the old berij viz - Rs 0-4-0 which is adopted in valuing the Inam Inams.

(Signed) J P Grant
Supt

22-4-98

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poonnah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
Wakkanji Syamiya	In 1805. "	In 1815 Sanad dariyafti Putti "
		In jody account of 1830. Jody Sambihalli Asali Dakhali Tanks. 2. Wakkanji Syamiya Total heriz ₱ 285-6 9 Of which deduct on account of jari Inams 31-4-1
		Balance ₱ 254-1-12 of which jori ₱ 173-4-0 Jody ₱ 80-7-12

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the main has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present Incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18

Cushe Moolloagal

Mygary Sully
Mutt.

Manager

Vasadava
Mookoteertha
Swamy

Subsequent
alienations

A. Tiylumma
45 Dry wet
u - 5 - a u - 7 - 1

B. Kodjidar Soobbay gowda
30 u - 5 - 1 u

C. Atma Ramachari
60 u - 4 - 4 u - 5 - a

D. Chutra anjaniah Swamy
Worshipper arcot.
Narsimah Charry
u u - 2 - 4

E. Gangapoor Venktappa
40 u - 2 - 9 — u —

F. Dinshpounday Soobraya.
40 0 - 1 - 1 0 - 1 - 8

G. Patalamma
Worshipper Soobbiah
0 - 1 - 0 u

H. Hujjam Venkatramana
30 u - 1 - 6 — u —

I. Obalii Swamiga 0 - 2 - 8
40 u — u —

J. Adelapet Ramakatta 0 - 4 - 8
50 u — u —

K. Arcot Narsimah Charry — - 8 - 0
35 u — u —

One of the manager of the mutt in Co° 15 obtained this in gift in 1834 from the origin holder stamp - deed is produced

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by ~~the Special Assistant and co~~ ~~by the Inam Commissioner~~
19	

Whole grant.

Resd S R.

25-2-74

The jodi was reduced to P 1100-0 in the year 1837 as per Commissioner's order alluded to in order No. 211 of the same year from the Superintendent of the late Bangalore Division. The jody under went further deductions for land taken up for public purposes and the amount alluded to in Column 9 is now actually collected.

While the village is called a jodi holding in all the accounts as well as to the present day the superintendent's order above alluded to names it a kayemguttah village This might perhaps be an error.

The Dakhali is within the boundary of the ~~as by~~ village and are free from boundary—— dispute. Boudhs have been erected on salient points to define the boundaries.

Sayer and abkari are reserved to the State and the forest in the village will be governed by the Chief Commissioner's notification of 26th June 69.

the up keep of which exc 9 jari Inams have been granted. As these tanks are for from the Government ~~the~~ source of Irrigation the wet crops may be exempted from the Irrigation cess.

This village was not originally granted for charitable purposes to be therefore confirmed on the payment of Quit Rent which is compulsory in this case.

I have adopted the existing Beriz of the village.

To be confirmed on 9 Quit Rent Rs. 52-6-10 plus 9 jari 295-1-10 in all Rs. 347-8-8 or Rupees 348.

(Signed) Syed Nasurodeen
Offg. Assistant.

16th September 72.

Boundaries.

East Kheeranady——

West the boundaries of 9 jari Kottur Basavapura, Circar Sisanura, & Ramasandra villages.

North = the boundaries of Circar Vernahalli & Yamarhalli villages.

South = the boundaries of Circar Huttoor & 9 jari Belgarnahully villages

Statistics

Inno roofed houses	6
Huts	70
Men	87
Boys	49
Women	93
Girls	40

Mills &c Nil.

N.B.

No account is readily from Duffler record to show the old area

Chief Commissioners No. 3332/117 dated 19/9/73

Review by the Special assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner)

20.

1. The Inam is valid under Rule II.
2. The Paimayashi of 1863 may be adopted in one certificate pending the Survey.
3. The jodi seems to have been twice reduced. The authority for it should be ascertained from the Talook records.
4. The Sayer and abkari are reserved, and the forest rights of the Inamdar are govern by the Chief Commissioner's Notifications of 1869 & 1871. The village is liable moreover to the local fund & Irrigation cesses.
5. The village may be confirmed to the religious instn in column 15, subject to.

Present jodi	294-11-5
Full assessment on wet Inam in case 8	6-5
Full assessment on resumed kodiji Inam See kodiji Regr Case 10	4-12-3
	299-14-1
1/8 Quit Rent interim on old beriz	52-6-10
	352-4-11.

Or Rupees 352/ compulsory

of land taken up for public purposes, and in what village an exchange was granted. This information should be noted on register.

[Signed] V. N. Narasimmyengar

28-8-73

No 91

The Kodigi case has been included in the resumed register No 124, therefore the combined Quit Rent will be minors that amounting as above

Rs 352-4-11

deduct 4-12-3

347-8-8

or Rs 348 only

The Assistant to furnish the order for eviction of the jody and to give concise formation as per para 6.

9-9-73 [Signed] J. Puckle

Rs No 163.

Pagodas 5-3-5 were deducted for the land taken up for the Palamanere Road as per the Bangalore Division order dated 4th June 1849 and P 3-3-10 were again deducted for the Choultry Site as well as for the road leading to the travellers Bungalow there in existence at Tumbakully under the authority conveyed in the late Division order No 21 of 22nd January 1857.

No land being granted in exchange Pagodas 8-6-15 were deducted from the

jody

for that sum in the jody village I have deducted P. 8-6-15 from the gross berij.

/Signed/ Syed Rasoorodeen

2nd September 73. Offg Asst

Check. This information should have been given in the 1st Instance.

15-9-73 /Dused/ J.P.

Checked

Seen. /Dused/ J.P.

19/9/73.

Inamdars require block survey. Docket from Assistant No 329 of 22-1-74.

1. Valid Rule II.
2. The extent is not mentioned in the old accounts The assessment as per column 6.
3. Block survey
4. Boundary disputs reserved for survey
5. Confirmed on a combined Lnt Rent of Rs 348. See other side ⊙ (Ad interim and agreed to) subject to local fund & Irrigation cesses and with sayer and Abkari and forest rights reserved.

/Signed/ J Puckle.

2-2-74

1 Revised as per the Government Proceedings No 16223-5 / P.f 100-93 dated 17th February 1894.

2 Vide the statement received (~~as per the~~ Government

write

	A.	G.	Rs.	a.	P
Total area & assessment	826.	14	698	—	—
Deduct for 12 Minor Inams	35.	14	94	—	3 — 4
Balance	791.	0	(603	—	12 — 8)

Particulars.

Inculturable	446.	0	14	—	—
Dry — Cultivated —	182.	8	279	—	10 — 0
Culturable (waste)	41.	11	17	—	4 — 0 ¼ asst.
Wet — Cultivated —	32.	3	125	—	2 — 8
Culturable (waste)	42.	19	40	—	8 — 0 — 00
Garden — Cultivated —	34.	15	116	—	0 — 0
Culturable (waste)	12.	24	11	—	4 — 0 — 00
Total	791.	0	603	—	12 — 8

Former Jodi		294 — 11 — 5
Add full survey assessment on resumed Inam case Rs. 8 & 10		4 — 1 — 6
	Total	298 — 12 — 11
⅛ Quit Rent on the diffce of Rs. 304 — 15 — 9 —		38 — 1 — 11
		336 — 14 — 10
or avoiding fractions		337 — 0 — 0

3. Finally confirmed accordingly.

22-4-98. [Signed] J. P. Grant
Supt. of Inam settlt.

"Copy"

Compd.
Bangalore 21/22nd February 1908

D'Souza Rao
Supt. Inam settlt.

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District

D 1479

To The Secretary to the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore Revenue & Finance Dept (Inwards Branch)

Dated 18-2-1911

Despatched

Received

General No. 6899

No. 236

Enclosure No. /

Submitting a report on the review application of Nagappa of Devaraya Samudram, praying for the reconsideration of orders of the Government, re the lease of Majjigehalli Mall villages in the Kolar and Mulbagal Taluks.

Ar.
Continue note above
Bg 20/2/11
Bg 21/2/1911

GPB—75 forms—2,500

Kolar District,

Dated Kolar, 18th February

C. Srikantesvara Aiyar, Esqr., B.A. B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esqr., B.A.,

Offg: Secretary to the Government of H.H. the

Maharaja of Mysore, Genl.&.Rev. Departments.

(Muzrai Branch) Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your office docket No. 3543 dated the 3rd February 1908, calling for a report on the review application of one Nagappa of Devaraja Samudram, praying for the reconsideration of orders of the Government regarding the lease of Majjigehalli Matti Villages in the Kolar and Mulbagal Taluks, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter No. 238 dated 1st October 1909, from the Malur Sub Divisional officer, which explains itself and shows how the matter then stood.

*. with the cular re bearing subject.

2. Since then, as the Swamigalavaru who has been touring in Northern India, been writing to this Office frequently

that the villages should res
him and that he should be given an
opportunity of explaining the circum-
stances and be heard before any further
orders are passed no enquiry has been
possible and so, I deferred the report,
as no satisfactory one could be made
without hearing both the parties, more
especially as several of the allegations
of the petitioner such as improvements
effected by him, his advances to ryots
were all found to be untrue. But as
a telegram from Government was
received on the 15th inst. that a
report should be submitted within 5
days, I beg to submit this ad-interim
one, pending the submission of a
detailed report after full enquiry,
as soon as the Swamigalavaru return
which is expected shortly.

3. The villages of Jodi Kanchalla
in the Kolar Taluk and of Kanivathi
and Kanamur in the Mulbagal
Taluk belonging to the Sri

in accordance with Government Proceedings No. 1211-2 dated 29th August 1907, at the request of the Swamigalavaru. During the Management of these villages by Government in the years 1907-08, 1908-09 and 1909-10, there has been a gross income of Rs 5,253-13-8, which after deducting the Government-dues amounting to Rs: 2,835-4-0, leaves a net income of Rs 2,418-9-8, or an average of Rs. 806 and odd a year. The income during the years 1907-08 and 1908-09 was poor, being even less than Rs 600 (and hardly sufficient to pay the allowances to the Swamigalavaru) obviously owing to friction and wire pulling from behind, which had gradually to be overcome. The income for 1909-10 was, however, very good being Rs 1229-7-0 or more than double the previous years chiefly due to (a) the leasing out of certain lands which had been allowed to lay banjar till then; (b) collection of arrears of previous

years

whole to better and more efficient management which I looked into & and on generally. It can thus be seen that the villages have been yielding fairly good income and only after they came under Government management; and there is still much scope for improvement.

4 As regards the last enquiry in the telegram, I beg to state that the lessees had obtained possession the villages from the Swamigalavaru but nevertheless, they never raised any the least objection, nor caused any obstruction whatever, when, on the receipt of Government Orders for taking the villages under Government management, the Amildars of Kolar and Mulbagal Taluks assumed charge of the same; nor were the lessees in any way forcibly ousted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

B T Kesavamurthy
For Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

1909-10

From Mir Hamza Hussain Esqr BA
Senior Asst Commissioner
Malur Sub Division

To C Srikantaswara Aiyar Esqr
BA, BL,
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Dated

Despatched 1st October 1909
17-10-09

Received

General No. 238
No.

Enclosure No.

Sir.

With reference to your no 4894/24 dated 10th February 1908 forwarding the copy of docket no 3543 dated the 3rd February 1908, from the Mungrai Secretary I have the honor to state that it was not possible for me to hold a full and detailed enquiry as the Swamigalu of the Majigehalli Matt has gone out on a tour of inspection and there is a local representative of his who can give useful information in the case the last hearing was fixed for 20th instant September 1908 and

case waited for the return of the Swami so long, but he has not turned up.

The question for decision is whether the lease deed executed by the Swami in favour of the petitioners is a bona fide transaction and whether the latter have paid any money to him under the lease deed. Both these points require the personal examination of the Swami.

If the question is to be decided exparte, then all the allegations of the petitioners may be taken to be proved. The lease is for a term of 10 years and the document, which bears the signature of the Swami, is duly registered. As the agents of the Matt did not manage the villages properly, they were leased for a sum of Rs 600/- a year in addition to a quit-rent of Rupees 944-12-0, which the lessees had to pay to the Government. The document does not appear to be a nominal transaction, as under the terms of the lease, the lessees have paid the rent for the year Visvavasu,

signed by the Swami himself. The rent of the following year was sent by money order and the receipt of the Swami is acknowledged by the Swamy in a letter dated 17th September 1905, written from Chandragiri. The lessees were in possession of the villages for 2 years under the terms of the lease, until the management of them was taken over by the Government.

As regards the improvements effected the Amildar of Mulbagal reports that no improvements has been effected to the lands by the lessees. The lessees assert that they have advanced money to the extent of Rs 1578-12-9 to the ryots for the cultivation of lands, but the Amildar states that they have not produced proper vouchers for the same, and that these being ordinary loans, there is no difficulty for the lessees to recover the same. The lessees do not seem to have been put to any extra expense on this account

Copy

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servt
(Sd) Mir Himmyat Hussain.
Senior Asst Commissioner

B.T. Rosarkhunsjam
for Deputy Commissioner
Kolar Dist.

File No.

Serial No.

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, the 19

Date of issue_____

Signed by_____

READ— Correspondence ending with letter No. 6899/230 dated 18th Feb'y 1911 from the Dy Comr, Kolar District, reporting on the application of one Devarajasamudra Nagabba, for a review of Govt. Order No. 1211-2 Inam F 2-9, dated 29th Augt 1907, so far as it related to the lease of the Majjigehalli Matt Inam villages in the Mulbagal and Kolar Talugs, by the Swamii of the Matt to the lessees viz, the said Nagabba and two others

---

Inam F. 288-07. Encl file & Order thereon :—

Dy 2nd May 1911.

Over

SUBJECT.

of Govt of the 29-
August 19 7, referred to above,
did not pressly direct the
dispossession of the lessees.
fact, the whether
should be was reserved
for further The
By Court however the
order in that sense and took
possession of the
As the petitioners now
left it to Govt. to the
matter, after recensi
the Govt are pleased to direct
that the villages be at once
restored to them, reserving the
right to receive the rent
according to the agreement,
on behalf of the Swami.
A copy of the Statement given
by the said Nagappa before
Govt on the 29th ~~Dec~~ April 1911,
agreem pay to Govt the

Subd
As it may be undesirable to make these admissions in the Govt-Order, the portion within brackets may be omitted; and the alternative form proposed adopted.
1.5.11.
Certain

In accordance with the prayer of the petitioners

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

rent on account of the properties in question, in accordance with the terms of the lease-deed dated 10th Febry 1905, will be forwarded to the Dy Comr for information. A muchalika or agreement to the effect therein ~~set forth contained~~ ~~may~~ should be obtained by the Dy Commr from the lessees Nagappa and Venkata Subbiah and the legal representatives of the other deceased lessee Yegna Narayana Deekshit, and the properties ~~at~~ handed over to them immediately on their executing the muchalika

Arrangements should be made for the recovery from the lessees of the rent, ~~from the lessees~~, due under the lease deed, and for managing the affairs of the Matt in accordance with the orders of Govt. already issued in that behalf.

1/5/11

1/5/11

To The Dy Comr
Kolar District
The Comptroller
The Muzrai Depdt
The Swamiji of Udupi

[illegible]

[illegible]

తే 29-4-1911

[illegible]

ಆ ದಿನ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ವಲಯ ಮಟ್ಟದ 10ನೇ ತರಗತಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ
ಇತ್ತು. ಆ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯ ಕೇಂದ್ರವು ನಮ್ಮ ಮನೆಯಿಂದ ಸುಮಾರು
ಎರಡು ಕಿಲೋಮೀಟರ್ ದೂರದಲ್ಲಿತ್ತು. ನಾನು ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ
ಬರೆಯಲು ಹೊರಟಾಗ ಮಳೆ ಜೋರಾಗಿ ಸುರಿಯುತ್ತಿತ್ತು.
ಕೊಡೆ ಹಿಡಿದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗದಷ್ಟು ಗಾಳಿ
ಬೀಸುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಆಗ ನಾನು ಮಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನೆನೆಯುತ್ತಾ
ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಕೇಂದ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ ಬರೆದೆ. ಮನೆಗೆ
ಬಂದ ನಂತರ ಜ್ವರ ಬಂದು ಮಲಗಿಕೊಂಡೆ. ಆಗ ನನ್ನ
ಅಮ್ಮ ನನ್ನ ಹಣೆಯನ್ನು ಮುಟ್ಟಿ ನೋಡಿ ಜ್ವರ ಬಂದಿರುವುದನ್ನು
ತಿಳಿದು ಬಿಸಿ ಬಿಸಿ ಕಷಾಯ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟರು. ಅಮ್ಮನ
ಪ್ರೀತಿಯ ಆರೈಕೆಯಿಂದ ಮರುದಿನ ನಾನು ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ
ಆರೋಗ್ಯವಂತನಾದೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ
ಅಭಿಷೇಕ್

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1908 [illegible] 10 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮ ತಾಲೂಕಿನ ಕಾಳಿಂಗನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. ೨೩೪ರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು ೧೨ ಎಕರೆ ೩೦ ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನು ಇದ್ದು, ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ಎಕರೆ ೧೫ ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಕಳೆದ ೨೦೧೮ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಬಿನ್ ಲೇ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕ್ರಯಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೆವು. ಆದರೆ ಕ್ರಯ ಪತ್ರ ಆಗಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಅವರು ಆ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಕ್ರಯ ಪತ್ರ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಕ್ರಯ ಪತ್ರ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಆ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪೋಡಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ.

ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮ ತಾಲೂಕಿನ ಕಾಳಿಂಗನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. ೨೩೪ರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು ೧೨ ಎಕರೆ ೩೦ ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನು ಇದ್ದು, ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ಎಕರೆ ೧೫ ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಕಳೆದ ೨೦೧೮ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಬಿನ್ ಲೇ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕ್ರಯಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೆವು. ಆದರೆ ಕ್ರಯ ಪತ್ರ ಆಗಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಅವರು ಆ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಕ್ರಯ ಪತ್ರ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಕ್ರಯ ಪತ್ರ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಆ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪೋಡಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ:- ಕೃಇ 30-ಪಿ-11 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಿಗೆ

30/3/11

ACKNOWLEDGMENT.

[This is a duplicate receipt which will be returned by the Post Office to the Remitter.]

I acknowledge to have received payment of Money Order No. 847 for the sum specified on the reverse.

Date 5/7/1907 Signature (in ink) of payee or thumb impression of payee in attestation.

No 2823 dt 6th May 1911.

Mun 288-07


No.33
ON POSTAL SERVICE.
Amount of Order (in figures)
Name
Address
CHANDRAGIRI
10 JUL 07

By order,

4/5/11

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No. 26/7-07

SUBJECT.

In re the alleged departures from manul etc. in regard the Utsavam of certain Bhakta Vigrahas in the Melkote temple.

From

M. A. Tirumala Ithar and Anandalwar of Melkote

No. 2856 Dated 16th January 1908.

Hag 3)

The petitioners complain that the Local authorities have on their own responsibility, permitted the introduction of innovation and also certain departures from manul in regard to the Utsavam of Vadagale Vedanta Ithariar and Tengale Gulaloka Ithar.

In regard to the introduction of innovation, they state that prior to 1835, certain disputes having arisen, it was directed by the late Supdt of Asthagram Division that the